WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	UKI	DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
AI	lejandro Hernandez-Cervantes	Case Number:	09-6223M
and was repre			was held on June 2, 2009. Defendant was presenthe defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
		NDINGS OF FACT	
· _ ·	ponderance of the evidence that:		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the Unit	·	'
	The defendant, at the time of the charge		• •
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contact	s in the United States	or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal histor	y.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant substantial family ties to Mexico.	but has no substantia	al ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	ar in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law e	nforcement contact by	fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _		years imprisonment.
The C at the time of t	the hearing in this matter, except as noted CON	in the record. CLUSIONS OF LAW	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendan No condition or combination of condition DIRECTION:	t will flee. s will reasonably assur S REGARDING DETE	re the appearance of the defendant as required.
a corrections for appeal. The dof the United Statement to the statement of	acility separate, to the extent practicable, fro lefendant shall be afforded a reasonable op States or on request of an attorney for the G he United States Marshal for the purpose of APPEALS AI	om persons awaiting or portunity for private cor overnment, the person of an appearance in co ND THIRD PARTY RE	LEASE
IT IS 0 deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this de of the motion for review/reconsideration to	tention order be filed w Pretrial Services at leas	ith the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to st one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS F Services suffice	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ciently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	third party is to be cons e District Court to allov	idered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria v Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	ED this 3 rd day of June, 2009.		
		~	
	•		
		David K. Duncar	1
	Uni	ted States Magistrat	